Kentucky's Education Professional Standards Board

New Teacher Survey 2003-2004 Results

University of Kentucky

Source: EPSB, contact Jaime Rice at Jaime.Rice@ky.gov.

	Classification	<u> </u>			Total
	Student Teacher	Intern	Cooperating Teacher	Resource Teacher	Total
Satisfaction with preparation in designing units of instruction that focus on Kentucky's student learning goals and academic expectations.					
Excellent	45.24%	45.19%	35.29%	50.86%	43.97%
Good	39.29%	48.08%	52.10%	42.24%	45.86%
Fair	14.29%	6.73%	11.76%	6.03%	9.46%
Poor	1.19%	0.00%	0.84%	0.86%	0.71%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	3.29	3.38	3.22	3.43	3.33
Standard Deviation	0.75	0.61	0.68	0.65	0.67
Satisfaction with preparation in using information about the community and backgrounds of students to design learning tasks.					
Excellent	16.67%	24.04%	21.01%	34.48%	24.59%
Good	52.38%	50.00%	52.10%	48.28%	50.59%
Fair	29.76%	25.00%	26.05%	15.52%	23.64%
Poor	1.19%	0.96%	0.84%	1.72%	1.18%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	2.85	2.97	2.93	3.16	2.99
Standard Deviation	0.70	0.73	0.71	0.74	0.73
Satisfaction with preparation in designing classroom assessments that are aligned with Kentucky core content standards and CATS.					
Excellent	30.95%	37.50%	24.37%	37.07%	32.39%
Good	41.67%	43.27%	49.58%	47.41%	45.86%
Fair	22.62%	15.38%	23.53%	13.79%	18.68%
Poor	4.76%	3.85%	2.52%	1.72%	3.07%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	2.99	3.14	2.96	3.20	3.08
Standard Deviation	0.86	0.82	0.76	0.74	0.79

^{*}No calculations for cells with less than ten respondents.

	Classification	1			Total
	Student Teacher	Intern	Cooperating Teacher	Resource Teacher	
4. Satisfaction with preparation in designing and using classroom assessments that reliably measure learning.					
Excellent	27.38%	36.54%	21.01%	37.07%	30.50%
Good	53.57%	50.00%	52.94%	49.14%	51.30%
Fair	17.86%	12.50%	25.21%	12.93%	17.26%
Poor	1.19%	0.96%	0.84%	0.86%	0.95%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	3.07	3.22	2.94	3.22	3.11
Standard Deviation	0.71	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.71
Satisfaction with preparation in designing and using formative assessments to provide feedback to students and guide their learning. Excellent	29.76%	32.69%	17.65%	34.19%	28.30%
Good	46.43%	53.85%	54.62%	45.30%	50.24%
Fair	20.24%	11.54%	24.37%	18.80%	18.87%
Poor	3.57%	1.92%	3.36%	1.71%	2.59%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	117	424
Mean Score	3.02	3.17	2.87	3.12	3.04
Standard Deviation	0.81	0.70	0.74	0.77	0.76
Satisfaction with preparation in designing instruction and learning tasks that connect core content to real-life experiences for students. Excellent	46.43%	38.46%	36.13%	44.83%	41.13%
Good	38.10%	46.15%	54.62%	49.14%	47.75%
Fair	15.48%	13.46%	9.24%	3.45%	9.93%
Poor	0.00%	1.92%	0.00%	2.59%	1.18%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	100.00 %	119	116	423
Mean Score	3.31	3.21	3.27	3.36	3.29
Standard Deviation	0.73	0.75	0.62	0.68	0.69
Ctantan a Doridion	J., 0	0.70	0.02	0.00	0.00

^{*}No calculations for cells with less than ten respondents.

	Classification	1			Total
	Student	Intern	Cooperating	Resource	
	Teacher		Teacher	Teacher	
Satisfaction with preparation in designing instruction and assessments for students with special needs.					
Excellent	19.05%	27.88%	26.89%	33.62%	27.42%
Good	34.52%	36.54%	43.70%	45.69%	40.66%
Fair	39.29%	25.96%	26.05%	18.97%	26.71%
Poor	7.14%	9.62%	3.36%	1.72%	5.20%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	2.65	2.83	2.94	3.11	2.90
Standard Deviation	0.87	0.95	0.82	0.77	0.86
Satisfaction with preparation in using technology to enhance and extend learning tasks related to core concepts and/or content standards. Excellent	21.43%	34.62%	20.17%	30.17%	26.71%
Good	53.57%	39.42%	49.58%	46.55%	47.04%
Fair	22.62%	21.15%	27.73%	21.55%	23.40%
Poor	2.38%	4.81%	2.52%	1.72%	2.84%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	2.94	3.04	2.87	3.05	2.98
Standard Deviation	0.73	0.87	0.75	0.77	0.78
Satisfaction with preparation in designing and using a variety of instructional strategies that address the learning needs of different types of students					
Excellent	34.52%	43.27%	30.25%	42.24%	37.59%
Good	52.38%	45.19%	52.10%	45.69%	48.70%
Fair	13.10%	10.58%	16.81%	10.34%	12.77%
Poor	0.00%	0.96%	0.84%	1.72%	0.95%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	3.21	3.31	3.12	3.28	3.23
Standard Deviation	0.66	0.70	0.70	0.72	0.70

^{*}No calculations for cells with less than ten respondents.

	Classification	<u> </u>			Total
	Student	Intern	Cooperating	Resource	
	Teacher		Teacher	Teacher	
10. Satisfaction with preparation in using methods of inquiry to create meaningful learning experiences for students.					
Excellent	46.43%	38.46%	26.89%	44.83%	38.53%
Good	40.48%	53.85%	55.46%	42.24%	48.46%
Fair	10.71%	7.69%	16.81%	12.07%	12.06%
Poor	2.38%	0.00%	0.84%	0.86%	0.95%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	3.31	3.31	3.08	3.31	3.25
Standard Deviation	0.76	0.61	0.68	0.72	0.70
11. Satisfaction with preparation in using multiple assessments and data sources to interpret learning results for individuals and groups of students.					
Excellent	29.76%	35.58%	20.17%	29.31%	28.37%
Good	50.00%	51.92%	52.94%	50.86%	51.54%
Fair	19.05%	9.62%	24.37%	18.97%	18.20%
Poor	1.19%	2.88%	2.52%	0.86%	1.89%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	3.08	3.20	2.91	3.09	3.06
Standard Deviation	0.73	0.73	0.74	0.72	0.73
12. Satisfaction with preparation in designing a personal professional growth plan that facilitates student learning.	00.000/	00.400/	00.770/	05.040/	05.000/
Excellent	33.33%	39.42%	32.77%	35.34%	35.22%
Good	46.43%	47.12%	55.46%	53.45%	51.06%
Fair	16.67%	12.50%	9.24%	10.34%	11.82%
Poor	3.57%	0.96%	2.52%	0.86%	1.89%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	3.10	3.25	3.18	3.23	3.20
Standard Deviation	0.80	0.71	0.70	0.66	0.71

^{*}No calculations for cells with less than ten respondents.

	Classification	<u> </u>			Total
	Student	Intern	Cooperating	Resource	
	Teacher		Teacher	Teacher	
13. Satisfaction with preparation in reflecting on the effectiveness of instruction for the purpose of improving student learning.					
Excellent	52.38%	48.08%	37.82%	47.41%	45.86%
Good	39.29%	41.35%	50.42%	42.24%	43.74%
Fair	8.33%	9.62%	10.08%	9.48%	9.46%
Poor	0.00%	0.96%	1.68%	0.86%	0.95%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	3.44	3.37	3.24	3.36	3.35
Standard Deviation	0.65	0.70	0.70	0.69	0.69
14. Satisfaction with preparation in developing graphic and/or written presentations to show evidence of student learning resulting from instruction.					
Excellent	19.05%	25.96%	16.10%	30.17%	22.99%
Good	52.38%	50.96%	56.78%	47.41%	51.90%
Fair	22.62%	19.23%	22.88%	20.69%	21.33%
Poor	5.95%	3.85%	4.24%	1.72%	3.79%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	118	116	422
Mean Score	2.85	2.99	2.85	3.06	2.94
Standard Deviation	0.80	0.78	0.74	0.76	0.77
15. Satisfaction with preparation in using classroom management techniques that foster self-control and self-discipline among students. Excellent	42.86%	34.62%	29.41%	38.79%	35.93%
Good	38.10%	48.08%	55.46%	46.55%	47.75%
Fair	15.48%	12.50%	14.29%	9.48%	12.77%
Poor	3.57%	4.81%	0.84%	5.17%	3.55%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	3.20	3.13	3.13	3.19	3.16
Standard Deviation	0.83	0.81	0.68	0.81	0.78
Ctanada Doridadii	0.00	1 0.01	0.00	1 0.01	0.70

^{*}No calculations for cells with less than ten respondents.

	Classification	1			Total
	Student Teacher	Intern	Cooperating Teacher	Resource Teacher	
16. Satisfaction with preparation in planning and providing instruction that stimulates critical thinking and the application of knowledge.	05.740/	00.05%	05.04%	00.048/	00.00%
Excellent	35.71%	33.65%	25.21%	36.21%	32.39%
Good	50.00%	52.88%	60.50%	54.31%	54.85%
Fair Poor	13.10% 1.19%	11.54%	14.29% 0.00%	9.48%	12.06% 0.71%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	100.00%	119	116	423
Mean Score	3.20	3.18	3.11	3.27	3.19
Standard Deviation	0.71	0.71	0.62	0.62	0.66
17. Satisfaction with preparation in collaborating with other teachers, administrators, parents and service agencies to provide the best possible instruction for students.	0.71	0.71	0.02	0.02	0.00
Excellent	36.90%	45.19%	44.54%	50.00%	44.68%
Good	36.90%	46.15%	42.86%	39.66%	41.61%
Fair	23.81%	6.73%	11.76%	10.34%	12.53%
Poor	2.38%	1.92%	0.84%	0.00%	1.18%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	3.08	3.35	3.31	3.40	3.30
Standard Deviation	0.84	0.69	0.71	0.67	0.73
18. Satisfaction with preparation in using a multi media computer to support and extend student learning.					
Excellent	20.24%	29.81%	21.01%	32.76%	26.24%
Good	48.81%	40.38%	45.38%	42.24%	43.97%
Fair	27.38%	25.00%	29.41%	23.28%	26.24%
Poor	3.57%	4.81%	4.20%	1.72%	3.55%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	2.86	2.95	2.83	3.06	2.93
Standard Deviation	0.78	0.86	0.81	0.79	0.81

^{*}No calculations for cells with less than ten respondents.

	Classification	1			Total
	Student Teacher	Intern	Cooperating Teacher	Resource Teacher	
Satisfaction with preparation in assessing professional growth needs and selecting meaningful professional learning activities.					
Excellent	36.90%	39.42%	26.05%	37.93%	34.75%
Good	45.24%	47.12%	59.66%	54.31%	52.25%
Fair	16.67%	9.62%	12.61%	6.90%	11.11%
Poor	1.19%	3.85%	1.68%	0.86%	1.89%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	3.18	3.22	3.10	3.29	3.20
Standard Deviation	0.75	0.78	0.67	0.63	0.70
20. Satisfaction with preparation in exhibiting and promoting ethical and professional behavior as a teacher. Excellent	63.10%	63.46%	59.66%	66.38%	63.12%
Good	27.38%	31.73%	33.61%	30.17%	30.97%
Fair	9.52%	2.88%	5.88%	3.45%	5.20%
Poor	0.00%	1.92%	0.84%	0.00%	0.71%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	3.54	3.57	3.52	3.63	3.57
Standard Deviation	0.67	0.65	0.65	0.55	0.63
21. Satisfaction with preparation in understanding the core concepts and skills related to the Student Teacher's or Intern's certified content area or areas. Excellent	47.62%	52.88%	42.86%	56.90%	50.12%
Good	41.67%	35.58%	51.26%	37.93%	41.84%
Fair	10.71%	10.58%	5.04%	4.31%	7.33%
Poor	0.00%	0.96%	0.84%	0.86%	0.71%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	3.37	3.40	3.36	3.51	3.41
Standard Deviation	0.67	0.72	0.62	0.63	0.66

^{*}No calculations for cells with less than ten respondents.

	Classification	<u> </u>			Total
	Student	Intern	Cooperating	Resource	
	Teacher		Teacher	Teacher	
22. Satisfaction with preparation in identifying and properly referring students with social or emotional problems, ie, those who may become a threat to themselves or others.					
Excellent	10.71%	32.69%	24.37%	41.38%	28.37%
Good	47.62%	39.42%	46.22%	44.83%	44.44%
Fair	32.14%	23.08%	27.73%	12.07%	23.17%
Poor	9.52%	4.81%	1.68%	1.72%	4.02%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	2.60	3.00	2.93	3.26	2.97
Standard Deviation	0.81	0.87	0.77	0.74	0.82
23. Satisfaction with preparation in understanding the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. Excellent	21.43%	25.96%	26.05%	35.34%	27.66%
Good	38.10%	38.46%	51.26%	48.28%	44.68%
Fair	29.76%	26.92%	22.69%	13.79%	22.70%
Poor	10.71%	8.65%	0.00%	2.59%	4.96%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	100.00 %	119	116	423
Mean Score	2.70	2.82	3.03	3.16	2.95
Standard Deviation	0.93	0.92	0.70	0.76	0.84
24. Satisfaction with preparation in developing useful strategies to address the behavioral issues of special needs children included in the regular classroom. Excellent	23.81%	25.96%	26.05%	31.03%	26.95%
Good Fair	36.90% 29.76%	39.42% 27.88%	49.58% 21.85%	46.55% 19.83%	43.74% 24.35%
Poor	$\frac{9.52\%}{400.00\%}$	$\frac{6.73\%}{100.00\%}$	2.52% 100.00%	2.59% 100.00%	4.96% 100.00%
Totals	100.00%				
N Maria Garage	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	2.75	2.85	2.99	3.06	2.93
Standard Deviation	0.93	0.89	0.76	0.78	0.84

^{*}No calculations for cells with less than ten respondents.

	Classification	<u> </u>			Total
	Student Teacher	Intern	Cooperating Teacher	Resource Teacher	
25. Satisfaction with preparation to teach in Kentucky's schools, overall					
Excellent	33.33%	32.69%	36.13%	49.14%	38.30%
Good	55.95%	55.77%	52.10%	40.52%	50.59%
Fair	10.71%	8.65%	11.76%	10.34%	10.40%
Poor	0.00%	2.88%	0.00%	0.00%	0.71%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	3.23	3.18	3.24	3.39	3.26
Standard Deviation	0.63	0.71	0.65	0.67	0.67
26. How would you rate the preparation to integrate the latest research in analyzing student reading problems?	40.400/			4	40.004
Excellent	13.10%	24.04%	11.76%	15.52%	16.08%
Good	45.24%	40.38%	47.90%	54.31%	47.28%
Fair	30.95%	30.77%	31.93%	24.14%	29.31%
Poor	10.71%	4.81%	8.40%	6.03%	7.33%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	2.61	2.84	2.63	2.79	2.72
Standard Deviation	0.85	0.85	0.80	0.77	0.82
27. How would you rate the preparation to integrate best practices for health education into overall instruction? Excellent	13.10%	13.46%	12.61%	11.21%	12.53%
Good	30.95%	38.46%	48.74%	51.72%	43.50%
Fair	35.71%	36.54%	31.09%	31.90%	33.57%
Poor	20.24%	11.54%	7.56%	5.17%	10.40%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	2.37	2.54	2.66	2.69	2.58
Standard Deviation	0.95	0.87	0.79	0.74	0.84
Otanuara Deviation	0.90	0.07	0.19	0.74	0.04

^{*}No calculations for cells with less than ten respondents.

	Classification	1			Total
	Student Teacher	Intern	Cooperating Teacher	Resource Teacher	
28. How would you rate the preparation to integrate environmental education into overall instruction?					
Excellent	9.52%	10.58%	11.76%	13.79%	11.58%
Good	34.52%	40.38%	48.74%	50.00%	44.21%
Fair	47.62%	36.54%	33.61%	30.17%	36.17%
Poor	8.33%	12.50%	5.88%	6.03%	8.04%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	2.45	2.49	2.66	2.72	2.59
Standard Deviation	0.78	0.85	0.76	0.78	0.80
29. How would you rate the preparation to teach students basic economic principles and the economic concepts students use in everyday decision making? Excellent	19.05%	24.04%	11.76%	18.10%	17.97%
Good	39.29%	47.12%	53.78%	56.90%	50.12%
Fair	34.52%	21.15%	26.89%	19.83%	25.06%
Poor	7.14%	7.69%	7.56%	5.17%	6.86%
Totals	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
N	84	104	119	116	423
Mean Score	2.70	2.88	2.70	2.88	2.79
Standard Deviation	0.86	0.87	0.78	0.76	0.81

^{*}No calculations for cells with less than ten respondents.